

Social Media, Political Mobilization and Emerging Socio-Economic Issues: A Critical Analysis of Delhi Lok Sabha Elections (2014-2024)

4

Prof. Sanjib Kumar Mishra

Abstract

The last decade (2014–2024) has witnessed a remarkable transformation in the relationship between social media and Indian politics, particularly in metropolitan centers like Delhi. Social media platforms have emerged as influential instruments of political mobilization, shaping not only electoral outcomes but also public discourse on pressing socio-economic issues. This paper critically examines the role of social media in Delhi's Lok Sabha elections (2014–2024) with a special focus on how narratives around unemployment, poverty, migration, gender inequality, and communal polarization were constructed and disseminated. The study employs a qualitative content analysis of campaign strategies, digital propaganda, and citizens' online engagement to trace the interplay between social media communication and voter behavior. By situating these developments within the broader framework of socio-economic challenges and social justice concerns, the paper highlights how social media has acted both as a catalyst for political participation and as a tool of manipulation. It also interrogates the growing trend of digital

Prof. Sanjib Kumar Mishra

School of Media, Film and Television Studies, IIMT University, Meerut.

Email: profsanjibmishra@gmail.com

Anu Books

Social Issues: Trends and Analysis

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31995/Book.AB348-O25.Chapter4>

Plagiarism Report: 01%

populism, misinformation, and the marginalization of underrepresented voices. Ultimately, this research argues that while social media has democratized access to political discourse, it has simultaneously intensified social divides, thereby creating new challenges for democratic accountability. The findings contribute to an understanding of the complex nexus between technology, politics, and society in contemporary India.

Keywords

Social Media, Political Mobilization, Delhi Elections, Socio-Economic Issues, Digital Populism, Social Justice

1. Introduction

The last decade has witnessed a paradigm shift in the way politics interacts with digital technology, particularly social media platforms. Globally, social media has been hailed as both a democratizing force and a disruptive technology that challenges traditional political structures. In the Indian context, the intersection of social media and politics has been especially significant, given the rapid rise of internet penetration, smartphone adoption, and digital literacy among the urban population (Arora, 2020). Political communication, once dominated by mainstream print and broadcast media, is now shaped extensively by online campaigns, hashtags, viral videos, and influencer-driven narratives.

Delhi, as the national capital and a metropolitan hub, occupies a unique position in this digital transformation. Not only is it home to a diverse socio-economic demographic, but it also represents the nerve center of national politics, where local and national issues intersect. The Delhi Lok Sabha elections of 2014, 2019, and 2024 provide a fertile ground to analyze the role of social media in political mobilization and discourse formation. These elections witnessed an unprecedented use of platforms such as Twitter (now X), Facebook, Instagram, YouTube, and WhatsApp in shaping electoral outcomes, with both ruling and opposition parties leveraging digital media to mobilize voters and frame socio-economic debates (Udupa, 2019).

The emergence of social media as a political tool also brought socio-economic issues such as unemployment, poverty, migration,

gender inequality, and communal polarization into sharper focus. These concerns, which traditionally occupied the margins of electoral campaigns, became central to online debates and voter engagement. Political parties utilized digital platforms to project competing narratives—ranging from promises of development and empowerment to critiques of governance failures. At the same time, the spread of misinformation, fake news, and communal propaganda highlighted the double-edged nature of social media in democratic contexts (Kumar, 2021).

This paper situates Delhi's electoral politics within this broader shift by critically examining the role of social media between 2014 and 2024. Through qualitative content analysis of campaign strategies, citizen participation, and online discourse, the study interrogates how digital platforms shaped political mobilization and socio-economic narratives. The analysis underscores the paradox of social media in Indian democracy: while it broadened access to political communication and mobilized new constituencies, it simultaneously exacerbated polarization and deepened social divides, raising urgent questions about the future of democratic accountability.

2. Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to explore the dynamic relationship between social media and electoral politics in Delhi over the past decade (2014–2024). Within this broader goal, the research seeks to address the following specific objectives:

- To analyse the role of social media in Delhi's Lok Sabha elections (2014–2024). The study investigates how platforms such as Twitter/X, Facebook, Instagram, YouTube, and WhatsApp influenced campaign strategies and voter behaviour.
- To examine the construction and circulation of socio-economic narratives online.
- Particular attention is given to how issues such as unemployment, poverty, migration, gender inequality, and communal polarization were framed and disseminated through digital platforms.

- To assess the dual function of social media as a tool of mobilization and manipulation.
- This involves analyzing how digital platforms encouraged democratic participation on the one hand, while also enabling misinformation, propaganda, and polarization on the other.
- To evaluate the challenges of digital populism and representation.
- The study seeks to understand how online discourse often marginalizes weaker or underrepresented voices while amplifying dominant narratives shaped by political parties and digital influencers.
- To contribute to the broader discourse on democracy, technology, and social justice.
- By situating the Delhi elections within the context of global debates on digital democracy, the research aims to highlight both the opportunities and the risks posed by social media to democratic accountability.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a ‘qualitative content analysis (QCA)’ approach to investigate the role of social media in shaping political mobilization and socio-economic narratives during the Delhi Lok Sabha elections (2014–2024). Qualitative content analysis is particularly well-suited to this research as it enables the systematic examination of communication patterns, discourses, and meanings embedded in digital content (Schreier, 2012). Unlike quantitative approaches that primarily focus on measuring frequency or volume of online activity, QCA allows for a deeper exploration of how political messages are constructed, circulated, and interpreted by diverse audiences.

Data Sources

The study draws on multiple sources of primary and secondary data. Primary data include:

1. ‘Official social media accounts’ of major political parties and leaders, specifically those belonging to the Bhartiya Janata Party (BJP), Aam Aadmi Party (AAP), and Indian National Congress (INC).

2. 'Campaign materials' disseminated online, including hashtags, memes, videos, posters, and live-streamed speeches.
3. 'Citizen engagement' on platforms such as Twitter/X, Facebook, YouTube, and WhatsApp, with particular attention to viral trends, hashtag movements, and user-generated content.

Secondary data include election reports from the Election Commission of India (2014, 2019, 2024), media analyses, and peer-reviewed scholarship on digital politics in India (Arora, 2020; Udupa, 2019).

Analytical Framework

The collected material was analyzed thematically. Key themes included:

- Political mobilization strategies employed through social media.
- Representation of socio-economic issues such as unemployment, migration, gender inequality, and communal polarization.
- Techniques of propaganda, populism, and misinformation.
- Patterns of civic participation and digital activism.

The analysis was guided by critical discourse theory, which emphasizes the relationship between language, power, and ideology in shaping political communication (Fairclough, 2013). This framework enabled an understanding of how digital narratives both reflected and reinforced broader socio-political dynamics in Delhi.

Limitations

The study acknowledges certain limitations. First, the dynamic nature of social media means that not all content is archived or accessible, leading to partial data gaps. Second, while QCA provides depth, it does not allow for generalizable statistical conclusions. Third, due to ethical considerations, private or encrypted communications (e.g., closed WhatsApp groups) were excluded from analysis. Despite these limitations, the methodology provides valuable insights into the

interplay between social media, political mobilization, and socio-economic discourse in Delhi.

4. Social Media and Indian Politics: A Decade of Transformation

4.1 Rise of Social Media in Indian Politics

The last decade has marked an unprecedented expansion of social media in India, with platforms such as Facebook, Twitter/X, YouTube, and WhatsApp becoming integral to political communication. India's digital revolution, accelerated by affordable smartphones and data services (notably after the launch of Reliance Jio in 2016), has transformed how citizens engage with politics. By 2024, India had over 820 million internet users, making it one of the largest online communities globally (Internet and Mobile Association of India [IAMAI], 2024).

Political parties quickly recognized the potential of these platforms to bypass traditional media and directly reach voters. The 2014 general elections are widely regarded as India's first "social media elections," with the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) leveraging digital platforms extensively to amplify Narendra Modi's image as a decisive leader (Pal, Chandra, & Vydiswaran, 2016). Social media also created space for alternative voices, enabling the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP) to emerge as a grassroots-driven political force by mobilizing volunteers and urban middle-class voters online (Banerjee, 2018).

Over the decade, social media evolved from being a supplementary communication tool to a central pillar of political strategy. Parties invested heavily in IT cells, digital consultants, and targeted advertising, while algorithm-driven content ensured high visibility for emotionally charged and polarizing narratives (Udupa, 2019). In this sense, social media has been both a democratizing force—expanding political participation—and a polarizing one—fuelling misinformation and ideological divides.

4.2 Digital Campaign Strategies in Delhi

Delhi, as the national capital and a politically vibrant metropolitan region, has served as a critical testing ground for digital campaign strategies. Political parties deployed multi-layered

approaches to engage diverse constituencies, including urban youth, migrant workers, and marginalized communities.

The BJP emphasized ‘high-visibility campaigns’ using slogans, video speeches, and targeted WhatsApp groups to consolidate its urban and middle-class base. Its narrative often revolved around national security, development, and Hindu identity politics. Conversely, AAP adopted a ‘grassroots digital strategy’, focusing on local governance issues such as education, health care, electricity, and water supply. By mobilizing volunteers online, AAP successfully portrayed itself as a people-centric alternative, particularly during the 2015 and 2020 Delhi Assembly elections, which influenced its Lok Sabha campaigns as well (Arora, 2020).

The Indian National Congress, though slower in adopting digital-first strategies, attempted to revive its presence through issue-based campaigns highlighting unemployment, women’s safety, and rising inequality. However, its limited digital reach often undermined its competitiveness in Delhi’s polarized political environment.

A defining feature of Delhi’s digital campaigns has been the reliance on ‘micro-targeting and data-driven messaging’. Through social media analytics, parties segmented voters into categories based on caste, religion, income level, and locality, tailoring messages accordingly. Viral hashtags, influencer endorsements, and meme wars further intensified the online battle for visibility.

Thus, the Delhi case illustrates how digital strategies in Indian politics have become deeply intertwined with socio-economic and cultural identities. While social media has allowed citizens to participate actively in campaigns, it has also created echo chambers that reinforce ideological divisions.

5. Delhi Lok Sabha Elections: A Social Media Timeline

5.1 Election 2014

The ‘2014 general election’ marked a watershed moment for Indian politics and is often referred to as the country’s first “social media election.” In Delhi, the Bhartiya Janata Party (BJP) capitalized on Narendra Modi’s nationwide popularity and ran an aggressive

digital campaign emphasizing development, strong leadership, and corruption-free governance. Social media platforms—particularly Twitter and Facebook—were used to amplify Modi’s persona through hashtags like #AbKiBaarModiSarkar.

The ‘Aam Aadmi Party (AAP)’, though relatively new, made strong use of digital platforms to mobilize urban youth and civil society groups. AAP highlighted issues such as corruption, governance transparency, and local service delivery. Despite its innovative online presence, AAP struggled to counter the BJP’s large-scale digital machinery. The Indian National Congress (INC), by contrast, had a weak digital footprint, with fragmented messaging and limited grassroots mobilization. Ultimately, the BJP won all seven parliamentary seats in Delhi, underscoring the effectiveness of its social media strategy (Pal et al., 2016).

5.2 Election 2019

The 2019 election witnessed a significant evolution in digital campaigning. The BJP further professionalized its IT infrastructure, leveraging WhatsApp groups, micro-targeted advertisements, and data analytics. Campaign narratives centered on nationalism, security (particularly after the Pulwama-Balakot episode), and welfare schemes. In Delhi, the BJP once again secured all seven seats, with its online campaign amplifying themes of national pride and decisive leadership.

The AAP, while effective in Delhi’s Assembly elections, faced challenges in translating its local governance achievements into a compelling national-level narrative. Its social media strategy revolved around showcasing improvements in education, health, and electricity, but it struggled to compete with the BJP’s emotive and large-scale messaging. The INC attempted to revive its campaign by focusing on unemployment and economic slowdown, but its limited online engagement weakened its impact. The dominance of WhatsApp as a tool for mobilization, often criticized for spreading misinformation, became a defining feature of this election cycle (Udupa, 2019).

5.3 Election 2024

The 2024 election in Delhi reflected the maturation of India’s digital political ecosystem. Campaigns were increasingly personalized,

interactive, and multimedia-driven, with short videos, reels, and influencer-led endorsements dominating online platforms. Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven campaign tools and algorithmic targeting became central to voter outreach.

The BJP continued to consolidate its position by blending nationalistic rhetoric with welfare-oriented messaging, amplifying them through viral hashtags, AI-generated content, and hyper-local WhatsApp groups. AAP emphasized governance achievements in education, health, and women's safety, while also addressing issues of unemployment and urban inequality. Its strategy included innovative online town halls, citizen Q&A sessions, and partnerships with digital influencers to appeal to young voters.

The INC tried to position itself as a defender of social justice and inclusivity, focusing on women's empowerment and poverty alleviation. However, its limited digital penetration compared to the BJP and AAP left it less competitive. Overall, the 2024 Delhi elections highlighted the consolidation of 'digital populism', with social media functioning not only as a campaign tool but also as a primary arena for ideological battles, misinformation, and socio-economic debates (Arora, 2020).

6. Socio-Economic Issues and Digital Narratives

Social media has not only reshaped political mobilization in Delhi but also profoundly influenced the discourse around socio-economic issues. Between 2014 and 2024, topics such as unemployment, poverty, migration, gender inequality, and communal polarization were extensively debated and disseminated through digital platforms. The thematic analysis below explores how these issues were framed and contested online.

6.1 Unemployment and Poverty

Unemployment emerged as one of the most prominent issues on digital platforms during all three election cycles. Hashtags such as #NaukriDo and viral posts reflecting the struggles of young job-seekers circulated widely, particularly on Twitter/X and Facebook. Opposition parties used social media to highlight alleged governance failures in job creation and economic management. Conversely, ruling parties

framed employment narratives around entrepreneurship schemes, skill development initiatives, and “Digital India” programs (Kumar, 2021).

Poverty, often intertwined with unemployment, was represented in narratives emphasizing economic disparity, rising cost of living, and urban deprivation. Viral videos depicting the hardships of migrant workers, street vendors, and informal laborers during the COVID-19 lockdowns sparked nationwide debates. These narratives influenced voter perceptions by highlighting the socio-economic gaps within Delhi, creating both empathy-driven mobilization and political polarization.

6.2 Migration and Urban Challenges

Migration has historically been a critical issue in Delhi, a city heavily dependent on migrant labor. Social media amplified concerns about housing, sanitation, health care, and employment for migrants. During the COVID-19 pandemic, WhatsApp and Facebook groups became forums for documenting hardships faced by returning migrants, while political parties used these narratives to highlight policy inadequacies or claim governance successes (Arora, 2020).

Urban challenges such as traffic congestion, pollution, and access to basic services were also frequently discussed on social media. Platforms like Instagram and YouTube became spaces where citizen-led campaigns and localized campaigns pressured parties to address these issues in their electoral manifestos.

6.3 Gender Inequality and Women’s Safety

Women’s safety and gender inequality were central themes in Delhi’s social media discourse. Incidents such as the 2012 Nirbhaya case had a long-lasting impact on public consciousness, which carried over into electoral campaigns. AAP frequently showcased initiatives such as improved public transport safety measures, CCTV installation, and women-centric public services on social media. BJP highlighted women-focused welfare schemes, while Congress emphasized legislative reforms and protection measures for vulnerable groups (Udupa, 2019).

Social media also became a platform for women to voice their experiences directly, challenging traditional political narratives and

demanding accountability. Hashtag campaigns and viral posts around harassment, wage gaps, and civic participation reflected an increased public engagement with gender issues.

6.4 Communal Polarization

Communal polarization has been a recurring and contentious theme in Delhi elections. Social media often amplified identity-based narratives, particularly during events such as the CAA-NRC protests and the Delhi riots of 2020. WhatsApp forwards, Twitter campaigns, and viral videos frequently spread both partisan propaganda and misinformation, intensifying polarization among citizens (Banerjee, 2018).

Political parties leveraged these digital narratives to consolidate support among specific communities. Simultaneously, civil society actors and independent media attempted counter-narratives to promote inclusivity and social cohesion. The online space thus became a contested arena where communal tensions were both reflected and amplified, demonstrating the dual role of social media in democratic politics.

Summary:

Overall, the analysis shows that social media has amplified socio-economic issues in Delhi's elections, serving as both a mobilizing tool and a site of contestation. While it has increased visibility for marginalized voices and civic concerns, it has also facilitated the spread of misinformation and polarization, highlighting the paradoxical nature of digital political engagement.

7. Digital Populism, Propaganda, and Misinformation

The increasing reliance on social media in Delhi's electoral politics has given rise to a new form of ****digital populism****, where political leaders communicate directly with citizens, often bypassing traditional media and institutional checks. Digital populism leverages emotionally charged messages, simplified narratives, and identity-based appeals to mobilize support. Platforms such as Twitter/X, Facebook, Instagram, and WhatsApp became arenas for constructing these narratives, influencing public opinion, and shaping voter behaviour (Chakravarty & Roy, 2018).

7.1 Populism and Emotional Mobilization

During the 2014 and 2019 elections, the BJP's campaigns exemplified digital populism through the personalization of leadership. Narendra Modi's image as a decisive and development-oriented leader was consistently amplified via social media campaigns, viral videos, and hashtags. Similarly, AAP utilized narratives of transparency, anti-corruption, and grassroots governance to appeal to Delhi's urban youth and middle-class voters. These strategies relied on *emotional mobilization*, often prioritizing sentiment over nuanced policy discussions.

7.2 Propaganda Techniques

Social media campaigns frequently employed sophisticated 'propaganda techniques' to reinforce political messaging. This included the selective use of images, video clips, and viral memes to create persuasive narratives. WhatsApp forwards, particularly in local language groups, disseminated highly targeted content aimed at reinforcing partisan loyalty. Political parties also used influencer endorsements, digital rallies, and celebrity participation to enhance credibility and reach.

7.3 Misinformation and Fake News

The digital ecosystem in Delhi was not immune to 'misinformation and fake news', which emerged as a major challenge during all three election cycles. During the 2019 and 2024 elections, WhatsApp groups and social media platforms became conduits for spreading manipulated images, unverified stories, and deepfake videos. Such misinformation often exploits communal identities, socio-economic anxieties, and political grievances, contributing to polarization and eroding trust in institutions (Udupa, 2019; Banerjee, 2018).

7.4 Impact on Democracy

While social media has democratized access to political discourse and enabled broader citizen participation, the prevalence of digital populism, propaganda, and misinformation presents serious challenges. Echo chambers and algorithmic filtering reinforced pre-existing biases, reducing opportunities for deliberative debate.

Moreover, the marginalized and less digitally literate populations remained underrepresented in these online spaces, highlighting inequalities in participation and influence.

7.5 Summary

In the context of Delhi elections, digital populism and propaganda functioned as a ‘double-edged sword’. On one hand, they increased engagement and mobilized voters; on the other, they facilitated manipulation, polarization, and the spread of false information. This underscores the urgent need for ethical guidelines, digital literacy, and regulatory mechanisms to ensure that social media strengthens democratic practices rather than undermining them.

8. Mobilization vs. Manipulation

The role of social media in Delhi’s Lok Sabha elections demonstrates a ‘paradoxical duality’: it functions both as a powerful tool for political mobilization and as an instrument of manipulation. Understanding this dual nature is essential to evaluating its impact on democratic processes and socio-political discourse.

8.1 Mobilization: Expanding Political Participation

Social media has significantly ‘democratized political engagement’ by providing citizens with accessible platforms to voice opinions, share information, and participate in electoral campaigns. In Delhi, urban youth, first-time voters, and digitally literate citizens actively engaged in online debates, viral campaigns, and digital protests. Initiatives such as AAP’s online town halls, live Q&A sessions, and volunteer-driven campaigns exemplify the potential of social media to foster ‘grassroots participation’ and community-driven political mobilization (Arora, 2020).

Furthermore, platforms like Twitter/X and Instagram allowed citizens to collectively highlight socio-economic issues, ranging from unemployment and poverty to women’s safety and urban governance. Hashtags such as #NaukriDo and #MehengaiMaarRahiHai created spaces for public discourse that influenced mainstream media coverage and party manifestos, demonstrating the ‘power of citizen-driven agendas’ in shaping electoral narratives.

8.2 Manipulation: Risks and Challenges

However, the same platforms that enable participation also create opportunities for ‘manipulation, misinformation, and polarization’. Algorithm-driven content delivery and the virality of emotionally charged posts often reinforce existing biases, creating echo chambers where dissenting voices are marginalized. WhatsApp forwards, AI-generated content, and targeted advertisements frequently spread partisan narratives and misinformation, subtly shaping voter perception and behavior (Udupa, 2019; Kumar, 2021).

Political campaigns increasingly exploit ‘micro-targeting’ to reach specific voter segments with tailored messages. While this enhances engagement, it also risks ‘exacerbating social divisions’ by delivering selective narratives that reinforce identity politics, communal polarization, or socio-economic anxieties. Marginalized groups and offline populations often remain underrepresented in these digital campaigns, highlighting inequalities in both political access and influence.

8.3 Balancing Opportunities and Risks

The duality of mobilization and manipulation reflects the ‘paradoxical nature of social media in contemporary democracy’. While it has the potential to engage, inform, and empower citizens, unchecked manipulation can undermine trust in institutions, distort public discourse, and deepen socio-political divides. In Delhi, this duality was evident across the 2014, 2019, and 2024 elections, where vibrant citizen participation coexisted with misinformation campaigns and identity-based polarization.

8.4 Summary

Social media’s role in Delhi elections cannot be viewed in purely positive or negative terms. Its capacity to ‘mobilize citizens and amplify socio-economic issues’ is matched by its potential to ‘manipulate narratives and reinforce social divisions’. Recognizing and addressing this duality is essential for policymakers, political parties, and civil society actors aiming to foster ethical, inclusive, and accountable digital political engagement.

9. Discussion: Democracy, Technology, and Social Justice

The analysis of Delhi Lok Sabha elections between 2014 and 2024 highlights the complex interplay between social media, democratic participation, and socio-economic concerns. Social media has emerged as a ‘double-edged instrument’ in the political landscape: while it has enhanced accessibility and visibility of political discourse, it has simultaneously contributed to polarization, manipulation, and the marginalization of underrepresented voices.

9.1 Democratization of Political Participation

Social media platforms have lowered barriers to political engagement, allowing citizens—especially the youth and urban middle class—to participate in electoral debates and campaigns (Arora, 2020). Digital platforms enabled the real-time dissemination of information, mobilization around socio-economic issues, and citizen-driven initiatives that amplified public concerns such as unemployment, women’s safety, and urban governance. Online campaigns and viral movements often translate into offline activism, demonstrating that social media can ‘strengthen civic engagement’ and enhance the responsiveness of political actors.

9.2 Reinforcement of Social Divides

Despite these democratic opportunities, social media has intensified existing socio-economic and communal divides. Algorithmic curation and echo chambers often amplify partisan narratives, marginalizing dissenting voices and reinforcing identity-based polarization (Udapa, 2019). For instance, digital narratives around the CAA-NRC protests or Delhi riots often polarized communities, limiting opportunities for constructive dialogue. Moreover, populations with limited internet access or digital literacy—such as migrant laborers or economically disadvantaged groups—remain largely excluded from online political discourse, highlighting ‘digital inequities’ in democratic participation.

9.3 Socio-Economic Narratives and Policy Influence

The framing of socio-economic issues on social media has had tangible effects on political agendas. Viral campaigns around

unemployment (#NaukriDo), inflation (#MehengaiMaarRahiHai), and women's safety forced political parties to respond with targeted promises and policy proposals. However, these narratives are often simplified or sensationalized, prioritizing emotional resonance over nuanced policy discussions. As a result, while social media amplifies socio-economic issues, it also risks 'reducing complex governance challenges to populist messaging', which may undermine long-term policy solutions.

9.4 Ethical and Regulatory Considerations

The dual nature of social media—its potential for mobilization and manipulation—raises pressing ethical and regulatory concerns. Digital populism, misinformation, and targeted micro-campaigns necessitate stricter norms for political communication online. Strengthening digital literacy, improving transparency in online political advertisements, and promoting fact-checking mechanisms are essential to safeguard democratic accountability and ensure inclusive participation (Kumar, 2021).

9.5 Summary

The Delhi elections illustrate that technology, politics, and society are increasingly intertwined. Social media can democratize political discourse and empower citizens, yet it also amplifies polarization and misinformation. Addressing these challenges requires a 'holistic approach' that balances technological innovation, democratic ethics, and social justice, ensuring that the digital transformation of politics contributes positively to inclusive governance.

References

1. Arora, P. (2020). *Politics in the digital age: social media in Indian elections*. Oxford University Press.
2. Banerjee, S. (2018). *Digital Activism and Political Mobilization in Urban India*. Sage Publications.
3. Chakravartty, P., & Roy, S. (2018). *The Indian media and digital populism*. Routledge.
4. Fairclough, N. (2013). *Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language*. Routledge.

Social Issues: Trends and Analysis

5. Internet and Mobile Association of India [IAMAI]. (2024). Digital in India report. Retrieved from [<https://www.iamai.in>] (<https://www.iamai.in>)
6. Kumar, A. (2021). Delhi elections and digital propaganda. Sage Publications.
7. Pal, J., Chandra, S., & Vydiswaran, V. G. (2016). Social Media in Indian Elections: An Analysis of the 2014 General Elections. *Media Asia*, 43 (4), Pg. **215–225**.
8. Udupa, S. (2019). Nationalism and social media in India. Cambridge University Press.
9. Election Commission of India. (2014–2024). Statistical reports on general elections to the Lok Sabha. Retrieved from [<https://eci.gov.in>] (<https://eci.gov.in>)