SCIENCE AND ENVIRONMENT: A PSYCHOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE

2

Kavita Agarwal

Abstract

The process of modernization and industrialization have brought several challenges along with the progress and development such as climate change, biodiversity loss, pollution, and resource depletion. Scientists and experts are working to find solutions for these issues yet their success depends on the way people think and act in society. There is need to understand the psychological mechanisms that shape how human beings and societies perceive, interpret, and act upon the environmental understanding before these experts can use their scientific knowledge and technical expertise to work toward environmental sustainability. This chapter examines how psychological research establishes links between scientific knowledge and environmental understanding. The study uses findings from recent research studies in environmental psychology, cognitive psychology, social psychology and positive psychology. The study identifies how environmental behaviour develops through different attitudes, perception, emotions, motivation, social norms and cultural values. The research findings show how psychological theories can improve environmental education and sustainable development through their application in environmental science and psychological research to solve present-day environmental challenges.

Keywords: *Environmental Psychology, Pro-environmental Behaviour, Cognition, Emotions, Sustainability, Social norms.*

Introduction

Environmental problems have turned into human-centered issues because they originate from the behavioural, perceptual and decision-making patterns which people use. Human behaviour is

Kavita Agarwal
Associate Professor, SRK(PG) College. Firozabad
Publisher: Anu Books, DOI: https://doi.org/10.31995/Book.AB355-F26.Ch.2
Book: Innovative Researches in India: Trinity of NEP 2020, IKS & Technology
Plagiarism Report: 02%

responsible for most urban pollution caused by automobiles and manufacturing units. Environmental science has produced strong evidence about the reasons behind environmental degradation yet gap persists between scientific knowledge and environmentally responsible action. Scientists and the general public still lack complete environmental understanding. The discipline of psychology provides essential tools to analyse this gap by demonstrating how people and groups handle environmental data and their capacity to convert acquired knowledge into practical application (Gifford, 2014).

People experience the environment through psychological processes which transform the physical world into their personal understanding formed through their beliefs, feelings, values societal norms and group practices. Scientific and technological developments enable society to understand environmental solutions yet psychology explains the different ways people react to scientific findings and environmental hazards (Clayton & Myers, 2015). The development of successful sustainability methods requires environmental scientists to work together with psychologists because both fields share essential insights.

Science, Environment, and Human Behaviour

The research studies show that human activities serve as the main cause for current environmental issues which include climate change and biodiversity extinction (IPCC, 2023). Environmental protection and sustainability ultimately depend on human behaviour. Environmental research requires psychologists to analyse the elements that drive human behaviour because environmental actions stem from decisions making of common men based on their attitudes, beliefs, social values and daily routines. People approach environmental challenges through collective action dilemmas which create a conflict between immediate personal advantages and sustainable benefits that benefit society at large. Environmental decision-making process depends on psychological elements because individuals use risk perception and moral judgment and temporal discounting to make choices (Steg, Bolderdijk, Keizer, & Perlaviciute, 2014). People tend to view climate change as an upcoming threat which will occur in a future time period; this perception makes them feel less obligated to take action immediately (Gifford, 2011).

Cognitive Processes and Environmental Perception

People develop their understanding of environmental science

through cognitive processes which function as their fundamental mental mechanisms. The processes of attention and perception together with memory and judgment create environmental belief systems through their effect on scientific material interpretation. Environmental perception involves an interaction between the individual and the environment.

Risk Perception and Heuristics

The assessment of environmental risks becomes challenging because these risks exhibit complex and uncertain yet probabilistic characteristics. People use cognitive heuristics as mental shortcuts which help them assess these risks. The decision-making process benefits from heuristics because they make choices easier. However, this process introduces systematic biases which cause people to underestimate environmental dangers that develop slowly over extended periods (Kahneman, 2011). People tend to favor their existing priorities instead of tackling climate change which scientists have proven to be a real danger although it remains hidden from the public.

Environmental Knowledge and Beliefs

Research demonstrates that behaviour change requires more than just environmental knowledge because knowledge itself cannot produce behavioural changes. The understanding and acceptance of scientific knowledge depends on how it gets presented through various communication methods. Environmental beliefs and attitudes develop through the interplay of scientific institution trust and information source credibility perception (Lewandowsky, Cook, Fay, & Gignac, 2019).

Emotional and Motivational Dimensions of Environmental Action

The study investigated how different emotional states and motivational factors affected people to take environmental action. Environmental challenges create strong emotional reactions which include fear, guilt, anger, hope and concern. The way people experience and control emotions will determine whether emotions lead them to take positive actions or disengagement.

Recent research shows that eco-anxiety has become a widespread problem which is defined as experience of chronic stress about environmental degradation and climate change (Clayton et al., 2023). People who experience eco-anxiety become more aware about

environmental issues yet they also develop a sense of powerlessness because they believe climate change affects their life beyond their ability to change. The research conducted by Ojala in 2016 shows that people who experience positive feelings of hope and efficiency, are more likely to participate in activities which protect the environment.

According to motivational theories people develop sustainable practices when they have direct inner drive because their sustainable practices match with their personal values and identity (Ryan & Deci 2020). People who want to keep up their sustainable practices for a long time should build both environmental identity strengths and self-efficacy skills.

Social and Cultural Influences on Environmental Behaviour

People in society develop their environmental behaviour through their interactions with existing social and cultural systems. Social psychology demonstrates that individuals are strongly influenced by social norms, group identities, and cultural values when making environmental decisions.

Social Norms and Collective Action

Social norms have a significant influence on how people behave in the environment. People who perceive pro-environmental behaviours as common or socially acceptable will choose to practice those behaviours (Cialdini, Reno, & Kallgren, 1990). The research showed that conservation behaviours increase when participants receive normative feedback through special interventions.

Interventions that deliver normative feedback about other people energy usage details, have resulted in successful conservation behaviour improvement (Schultz et al 2018).

Cultural Views of the Natural World

Human-nature relationships are shaped by the cultural orientations that people hold. Some cultures show greater respect for human authority and resource exploitation while other cultures demonstrate respect for natural systems through their belief in ecosystem interdependence. The psychological research conducted by Markus and Kitayama (2010) shows that cultural factors determine environmental attitudes, sustainability behaviour and policy choices.

Sustainable Development and Environmental Psychology

Environmental psychology studies how humans interact with their built and natural environment. It is related with studying environmental concerns by using the knowledge and strategies of many fields within Psychology. The research in this field has produced key findings about conservation behaviour and sustainable consumption and the psychological effects of environmental degradation. Physical technology alone is not sufficient for environmental conservation because modern physical technologies themselves have created widespread pollution and resource depletion as automobile industry and in some cases, there is lack of sufficient advance techniques. Therefore, the achievement of sustainable development needs both technological advancement and widespread shifts in individual, organizational and societal practices. The creation of sustainability-driven behaviour-change initiatives needs psychologists to understand human decision-making through their research-based psychological theories and evidence (Steg and Vlek, 2009).

Education and Policy

In order to promote sustainable attitudes and behaviours, psychologically informed environmental education is essential. Environmental education needs psychological knowledge for teaching methods that will develop environmental stewardship and sustainable, long-term habits in students. Educational methods that focus on practice, emotional affiliations and critical thinking bring better results than programs that use only information to teach students (UNESCO,2020).

Environmental policies combine behavioural science techniques with traditional regulatory methods through their use of nudges and incentives and choice architecture. Policies which match human motivational patterns and decision-making methods will succeed in gaining public support and achieving targeted results (Thaler & Sunstein, 2021). Environmental policies should promote energy conservation, recycling, and sustainable consumption.

Consequences for Practice and Research

The field of environmental research requires educational institutions, government bodies and practitioners to establish dedicated psychological research programs which will address environmental

issues. Environmental scientists need to work with psychologists to create complete and effective solutions through their combined expertise.

The upcoming researches should examine which psychological methods assist people and communities in managing environmental changes through their development of resilience, environmental identity and collective efficacy. The development of sustainable organizational practices and sustainable lifestyles requires people to develop positive psychological attributes.

Conclusion

Scientific research provides knowledge and essential insights into environmental problems but psychology explains how individuals perceive, comprehend and respond to this knowledge. A psychological viewpoint emphasizes that human motivation and behaviour are as important to environmental sustainability as scientific and technological progress. The combination of psychological principles with environmental science creates an essential framework which enables organizations to solve environmental problems through sustainable solutions. Psychologists are trying to bridge the gap between environment science and behaviour.

References

1. Cialdini, R. B., Reno, R. R., & Kallgren, C. A. (1990). A focus theory of normative conduct: Recycling the concept of norms to reduce littering in public places. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58(6), 1015–1026.
2. Clayton, S., & Myers, G. (2015). *Conservation psychology: Understanding and promoting human care for nature* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
3. Clayton, S., Manning, C., Krygsman, K., & Speiser, M. (2023). Mental health and climate change: Impacts, inequities, responses. *American Psychologist*, 78(1), 1–15.
4. Gifford, R. (2011). The dragons of inaction: Psychological barriers that limit climate change mitigation and adaptation. *American Psychologist*, 66(4), 290–302.
5. Gifford, R. (2014). Environmental psychology matters. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 65, 541–579.

6. IPCC. (2023). *Sixth assessment report: Synthesis report*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
7. Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
8. Lewandowsky, S., Cook, J., Fay, N., & Gignac, G. E. (2019). Science by social media: Attitudes towards climate change are mediated by perceived scientific consensus. *Memory & Cognition*, 47(8), 1445–1462.
9. Markus, H. R., & Kitayama, S. (2010). Cultures and selves: A cycle of mutual constitution. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 5(4), 420–430.
10. Ojala, M. (2016). Facing anxiety in climate change education: From therapeutic practice to hopeful transgressive learning. *Canadian Journal of Environmental Education*, 21, 41–56.
11. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). *Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions*. Routledge.
12. Schultz, P. W., Nolan, J. M., Cialdini, R. B., Goldstein, N. J., & Griskevicius, V. (2018). The constructive, destructive, and reconstructive power of social norms. *Psychological Science*, 29(5), 1–13.
13. Steg, L., & Vleck, C. (2009). Encouraging pro-environmental behaviour: An integrative review and research agenda. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 29(3), 309–317.
14. Steg, L., Bolderdijk, J. W., Keizer, K., & Perlaviciute, G. (2014). An integrated framework for encouraging pro-environmental behaviour. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 38, 104–115.
15. Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2021). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness* (rev. ed.). Yale University Press.
16. UNESCO. (2020). *Education for sustainable development: A roadmap*. UNESCO Publishing.