Voyager: Vol. VI, Dec. 2015, 26-32: 2015 ISSN:0976-7436: INDEXED AND ABSTRACTED

# A New Species of *Rhamnocercus* Monaco *et. al.*, 1954 (Diplectanidae: Monogenea) From Gills of Freshwater Fish *Mystus tengara* (Ham.) at Meerut

3

# Pragati Rastogi\*

#### Abstract

A new species of genus Rhamnocercus Monaco et.al., 1954 is described from the gills of freshwater fish Mystus tengara (Family: Diplectanidae) at Meerut. It is the first report of this genus from Meerut. Genus Rhamnocerus was established by Monaco et al., 1954 for the worms collected from the gills of Umbrina roncador at California. The present form resembles closely with Rhamnocercus Monaco et.al, 1954 in having dagger shaped spikes at the margins of peduncle. But it differs from Rhamnocercus in absence of clumps of spines on the haptor. Present form differs from other species of Rhamnocercus Monaco, et. al., 1954 in Number of head organs 7-8 pairs in R. Srivastawa and 5 pairs in R. seenghela; as compared to 6 pairs in the present form); shape of seminal vesicle, cirrus and its accessory piece; shape of dorsal transverse bar (having elongated arms of V); shape and extension of spikes and absence of side connectives. Hence, it is described as a new species viz., R. pandeyii.

**Keywords:** Monogeneans, Rhamnocercus pandeyii, peduncular spikes, haptoral spines.



<sup>\*</sup>Asst. Prof., Dept. of Zoology, Meerut College, Meerut, U.P., India,

#### Introduction

During the course of study of freshwater monogenean fauna of fishes at Meerut, the authors came across one specimen of *Mystus tengara* (Ham.), infected with monogeneans belonging to genus *Rhamnocercus* Monaco *et. al.*, 1954. On subsequent study, the present form appeared new to the author and is described herein as such.

#### **Materials And Methods**

Fishes for present investigation were collected from local fish markets of Meerut. The identification of piscine hosts was made with the help of classical work of Day, 1889.

Mizelle's (1936 and 1938) freezing technique was employed for collecting monogeneans. Gills were removed from fishes and placed in separate tubes, half filled with water and kept in refrigerator for 8-48 hours and shaken vigorously. This solution was poured in clean petridishes, diluted with water and examined under a binocular microscope. Care was taken to keep the part of gill, subjected to examination submersed under water. Worms thus collected, were washed thoroughly and fixed in hot 70% alcohol or 10% neutral formalin.

Study of chitinoid hard parts was made in glycerin mounts. Permanent mounts were made after staining in Aceto alum carmine, dehydrating through ascending grades of alcohol, clearing in Xylene and mounting in Canada balsam. Camera lucida sketches were made both from temporary and permanent preparations. All measurements were taken with the help of stage micrometer and oculomotor by method suggested by Gussev (1973).

#### Results

The parasite is elongate, bluntly pointed at ends and measures 900 - 1210 μm in length and 109 - 115 μm in width. The prohaptor and opisthaptor are fairly set off from the body proper. Bilobed prohaptor tapers anteriorly and it is equipped with six pairs of head organs and two pairs of eye spots. Head organs communicate with cephalic glands on either postero-lateral sides of pharynx through separate ducts, running along the sides of pharynx. Two pairs of eye spots are present in the region of pharynx. Posterior pair of eye spots is larger on account of presence of greater number of melanistic granules. Pharynx is large, muscular and oval measuring 45 - 50 x 40 - 44 μm. Intestinal crura simple, bifurcate and confluent posteriorly, slightly anterior to peduncle.

Male reproductive system consists of testis, vasa differentia, seminal vesicle and male copulatory complex. Testis single, fusiform, post equatorial, post ovarian and intercaecal, measuring 128 - 134 x 81 - 85  $\mu$ m. From the anterior border of the testis, a fine duct, the vasa efferentia, arises it opens anteriorly into



seminal vesicle. The seminal vesicle is bilobed. The lobes of seminal vesicle are joined by a long duct like and lightly coiled isthmus. Posterior lobe of the seminal vesicle is horse-shoe shaped measuring  $53 - 58 \times 11 - 13 \mu m$ . Isthmus is long and measures 96 - 110 µm in length. Anterior lobe of seminal vesicle is fusiform and measures  $68 - 72 \times 19 - 21 \mu m$ . Seminal vesicle opens at the base of cirrus. Male copulatory complex consists of cirrus and three accessory pieces. Cirrus is a double walled chitinoid tube with a broad base. Curved tube runs anteriorly, takes an anticlockwise turn, proceeds anteriorly and turn backwards and a little behind the base it again turns and runs anteriorly. It again makes a loop over itself and terminates in the U-turn of the cirrus tube. The cirrus measures 170.9 - 172.6 µm in length. Diameter at the base of the cirrus is 5 - 6 µm while the diameter of the mesial part of cirrus is 1 - 1.5μm. Diameter of the distal part of cirrus is 4 - 4.5 µm. Accessory piece of cirrus is made up of three pieces. First piece is inverted S-shaped with swollen ends. It measures 49 - 53 µm in length. The other two accessory pieces are similar in shape and size. They are comma shaped (9) and measure 6.1 - 66 µm in length, and are situated on either side at the base of the cirrus.

Female reproductive system comprises of ovary, receptaculum seminis, a vaginal duct and vagina. Ovary

is single, pre-testicular, and sinistral and club shaped. It measures  $130 - 170 \times 24 - 28 \ \mu m$ . Receptaculum seminis is pre-ovarian, superimposed on the left intestinal crura and elliptical in outline. It measures  $44 - 48 \times 16 - 19 \ \mu m$ . Anteriorly, a fine vaginal duct arises from the receptaculum seminis and opens into funnel shaped vagina. Vaginal duct measures  $33 - 36 \ \mu m$  in length. Vaginal opening is sinistral and measures  $0.015 - 0.016 \ mm$  in diameter.

Haptor is fairly set off from body proper by a long narrow peduncle. The peduncle bears five pairs of hard sclerotized, leaf shaped spikes arranged in two rows on either side of haptoral peduncle. These spikes are dorsally embedded on the haptor and measure 28 - 30 µm in total length. They are divisible into dagger shaped spike and a long rodlike handle. Spike measures 13 - 20 μm, while its handle measures 14 - 16 μm. Haptor measures 138 - 143 µm in length and 145 - 148 µm in width. Armature of the haptor consists of two pairs of dissimilar anchors (dorsal and ventral) two transverse connective bars (dorsal and ventral) and seven pairs of marginal hooklets. Dorsal anchors are 'Boreal' type measuring 112 – 115 μm in total length. Dorso apical length of dorsal anchor is 112 - 114 µm and ventro apical length is  $110 - 112 \mu m$ , having equally developed roots. Broad base is divisible into narrow but pointed inner root and

broad and quadrangular outer root. At the base of the inner root, a crochet hook shaped protruberance is present providing the site for the articulation of dorsal transverse bar. Anchor base narrows abruptly into a straight shaft measuring  $56 - 61 \mu m$  that tapers into a sharp point measuring 22 - 30 µm. Dorsal transverse bar is 'Wunderoid' type measuring 91 - 95 µm in length and median Width of bar is 10 - 12 μm. It is a wide, 'V' shaped bar with outwardly directed blunt lateral ends. Ventral anchors are juvenile type measuring 65 - 89 µm. Dorso-apical length of ventral anchor is 64 - 88 µm and ventro-apical length is 64 - 88 µm. Narrow base is divisible into equal outer and inner root. Anchor roots are poorly demarcated with the help of suture extending from the tip of the root, but not set apart. Base tapers gradually into a curved shaft measuring 55 - 58 μm that tapers into a point measuring 38 - 41 μm. The ventral transverse bar is 'Anchoroid wegeneri' type measuring 108 - 112 μm in length and median width of the bar is  $9 - 11 \mu m$ . It is a more or less straight bar with an antero-median conical protuberance. Lateral ends of the bar possess a condyle for articulation with the anchors. Marginal hooklets are 'Dactylogyrus' type measuring  $20-33 \mu m$  in total length. Marginal hooklets are divisible into sickle measuring 5 - 10 µm in length and handle measuring 15 - 22 µm. Proximal width

of sickle is  $1-2 \mu m$ . Distal width of sickle is  $3-3.5 \mu m$ .

#### Discussion

The present form resembles closely with *Rhamnocercus* Monaco *et.al*, 1954 in having dagger shaped spikes at the margins of peduncle. Singh and Agarwal (1994) reported that although, this character is reported in three different genera *viz*,

- 1. Rhabdosynochus Mizelle and Blatz, 1941
- 2. Rhamnocercus Monaco, et.al., 1954; and
- 3. Pedunculospina Hargins, 1954

The genus Pedunculospina was synomymised with the genus Rhamnocercus by Hargis (1955). This is the third occasion, when this parasite has been reported from a fresh water fish in India. The author herself discussed about this parasite with Dr. G.C. Kearn. He is of the opinion, that although this parasite is closer to Rhamnocercus Monaco, et al, 1954; but it differs from Rhamnocercus in absence of clumps of spines on the haptor. But despite of repeated efforts, the author failed to collect additional specimens of this parasite. Therefore, at present, it is placed under the genus Rhamnocerus Genus Rhamnocerus was established by Monaco et al., 1954 for the worms collected from the gills of Umbrina roncador at California. To the best of my knowledge following species are

Voyager: Vol. VI, Dec. 2015, 26-32: 2015 ISSN:0976-7436: INDEXED AND ABSTRACTED

known under the genus *Rhamnocercus* Monaco et. al., 1954 as appended in the Table 1.

Table 1: Showing host parasite list of Rhamnocercus sp.

Table 1. Showing host parasite list of Khanhover cas sp.			
S. No.	Parasite Species	Host	Locality
1.	R. rhamno cercus Monaco et al; 1954	Umbrina rancador	Califomia
2.	R bair diella Hargis, 1955	Bairdiella Chrysura	Florida
3.	R st ichospinus Seamster & Monaco, 1956	Micropogon undulates Menticirrus littoralis	Gulf of Mexico, Texas
4.	R oliveri Luque and Iannacone, 1991	Stellifer minor	Chorillos, Peru
5.	R stelliferi Luque and Iannacone, 1991	S. minor	Chorillos, Peru
6.	R menticirrhi Luque and Iannacone, 1991	S. minor	Chorillos, Peru
7.	R srivastavi Singh & Agarwal, 1994.	Wallago attu	Meerut, India
8.	R margaritae Zambrano, 1997	B. ronchus	Lagoon of La Restinga, Venezuela
9.	R seenghala Kumar, 2013	Mystus seenghala	Sharanpur, India
10.	R. pandeyii present specimen	M. tengara	Meerut, India

The present form differs from all the known Neotropical forms of *Rhamnocercus* Monaco, Wood and Mizelle, 1954 in: number of head organs, absence of accessory piece of cirrus, number of spikes on the haptoral peduncle, absence of clumps of spines on the haptor, shape of dorsal and ventral anchors and transverse bars, reported from marine teleosts.

The present form comes closer R. srivastavi Singh and Agarwal, 1994 and R. seenghala Kumar, 2013 in: number of spikes on the haptor peduncle, absence of clumps of spines on the

haptor, shape of dorsal anchors, ventral anchors and ventral transverse bar.

However, the present form differs form R. srivastavi Singh and Agarwal, 1994 and R. seenghala Kumar, 2013 due to difference in: number of head organs (7-8 pairs in R. srivastavi Singh and Agarwal, 1994 and 5 pairs in R. seenghala Kumar, 2013 as compared to 6 pairs in the present form); shape of seminal vesicle, shape and accessory piece of cirrus, shape of dorsal transverse bar (having elongated arms of V), shape and extension of spikes, presence of side connectives in R.

Voyager: Vol. VI, Dec. 2015, 26-32: 2015 ISSN:0976-7436: INDEXED AND ABSTRACTED

srivastavi Singh and Agarwal, 1994 and R. seenghala Kumar, 2013.

On the basis of above differences, the present form is identified as a new species *viz. R. pandeyii* n.sp. **Etymology**:

It is named after Prof. K.C. Pandey for his valuable constributions in the field of Parasitology.

## **Explanation Of Figures**

PLATE I: Rhamnocercus pandeyii, Figure 1. Prohaptor; Figure 2. Soft anatomy; Figure 3. Cirrus with accessory piece; Figure 4. Peduncular spike; Figure 5. Haptor

### Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to Principal, Meerut College, Meerut for providing laboratory facilities.

#### References

Day, F. 1889. The fauna of British India including Ceylon and Burma fishes Vol. I & II. 548 pp. Taylor and Francis, London.

Gussev, A.V. 1973. Freshwater Indian Monogenoidea Principles of Systematics. Analysis of the world faunas and their evolution. Indian J. Helminth., 25 and 26: 1-241.

Hargis, W.J. Jr. 1954. Monogenetic trematodes of some Gulf of Mexico fishes. J. Parasit. 40 (2), Suppl., 38.

Hargis, W.J., Jr. 1955. Monogenetic trematodes of Gulf of México fishes. Part III. The superfamily Gyrodactyloidea. Quart. J. Florida Acad. Sci. 18: 33-47. Kumar, V. 2013. Monogenean fauna of district Saharanpur, UP, Part – VIII. International J. of Life Sciences Biotechnology and Pharma Research. 2: 95 – 105.

Luque, J.L. and Iannacone, J. 1991. Rhamnocercidae (Monogenea: Dactylogyroidea) in Sciaenidae fishes from Perú, with description of Rhamnocercoides menticirrhin. gen., n. sp. and two new species of Rhamnocercus. Rev. Biol. Trop. 39: 193–201.

Mizelle, J.D. 1936. New species of trematodes from gills of Illinois fishes. Amer. Midl. Nat., 17: 785-806.

Mizelle, J.D. 1938. Comparative studies on trematodes (Gyrodactyloidea) from gills of North American fresh water fishes. Illinois Biol. Mongr., 17: 1-81.

Mizelle, J.D. and Blatz, V. 1941. Studies on monogenetic trematodes-VI. Two new dactylogrid genera from Florida fishes. Amer. Midl., Nat. 26: 105-109.



Monaco, L.H., Wood, R.A. and Mizelle, J.D. 1954. Studies on monogenetic trematodes XVI. Rhammocercinae, a new subfamily of Dactyloyridae. Amer. Midl. Nat., 52: 129-132.

Seamster, A. and Monaco, L.H. 1956. A new species of Rhamnocercinae. Amer. Midl. Nat, 55: 180-183.

Singh, H.S. and Agarwal, S. 1994. On three new monogeneans from Meerut. Dr. C.B. Srivastava Comm. Vol., 207-217.

Zambrano, J.L.F. 1997: Dos especies nuevas de monogéneos (Diplectanidae) parásitos de peces marinos de la Laguna de la Restinga. An. Inst. Biol. Univ. Nac. Autón. Méx., ser. Zool., 68: 225-236.



