

Psychological Capital and Related Concepts - A Literature Review

Kavita Agarwal

Associate Professor

*Department of Psychology
SRK (PG) College, Firozabad
Email: kavitaa@gmail.com*

Abstract

This review paper discusses psychological capital and its components and explores its theoretical frameworks, empirical evidence, and practical implications. Luthans, Youssef, and Avolio (2007) introduced the concept of psychological capital, which refers to an individual's positive psychological state of development, characterized by hope, optimism, resilience, and self-efficacy. Psychological capital is abbreviated as PsyCap in related studies. This review article on PsyCap reveals measurement methods in studies at individual and team levels using a PsyCap Questionnaire (PCQ). A comprehensive literature review highlights the research conducted in the area of PsyCap and related Organizational concerns such as job satisfaction, work engagement and job performance. The paper concludes by emphasizing the importance of psychological capital in enhancing work-related attitudes and behaviours such as job satisfaction, engagement and performance, and recommends strategies for organizations to foster psychological capital among employees.

Keywords

Psychological Capital, Self Efficacy, Hope, Optimism, Resilience, Job Satisfaction, Work Engagement, Job Performance.

Reference to this paper
should be made as follows:

Received: 04.10.2024

Approved: 29.12.2024

Kavita Agarwal

Psychological Capital and
Related Concepts -
A Literature Review

*RJPSSs 2024, Vol. L, No. 2,
pp. 282-292
Article No.34*

Similarity Check: 20%

Online available at:
[https://anubooks.com/
journal-volume/rjpss-vol-
l-no2-dec-2024](https://anubooks.com/journal-volume/rjpss-vol-l-no2-dec-2024)

DOI: [https://doi.org/
10.31995/
rjpss.2024v50i02.34](https://doi.org/10.31995/rjpss.2024v50i02.34)

Introduction

Psychological capital has emerged from the roots of Positive Psychology and got its wings through positive organizational behavior. Positive psychology, when applied to organizational settings, emphasizes the enhancement of employees' state-like attributes such as hope, optimism, resilience, and self-efficacy, which contribute to the effective functioning of organizations. This focus has spurred the development of the concept of Psychological Capital (PsyCap), introduced by Luthans et al. (2004). PsyCap comprises four core components: hope, optimism, self-efficacy, and resilience. It measures individuals' positive psychological attributes and emphasizes strengths over weaknesses (Luthans et al., 2004). This construct has garnered significant attention from researchers across the scientific community. Moreover, the roles of employees, often regarded as "human resources," in fostering sustained competitive advantage are widely acknowledged as critical organizational assets (Campbell et al., 2012).

Literature Review

Employees with robust psychological capacities are among the most valuable human resources, providing firms with a competitive edge (Thoet al., 2014). Psychological Capital (PsyCap) is recognized as a relatively recent construct within organizational behavior. It represents the study and practical application of positive psychological attributes and human resource management strategies that can be measured, developed, and effectively managed in modern workplaces to enhance performance (Luthans, 2002).

Psychological capital and its components

Psychological capital or PsyCap has been defined as "an individual's positive psychological state of development and is characterized by: (1) having confidence (self-efficacy) to take on and put in the necessary effort to succeed at challenging tasks; (2) making a positive attribution (optimism) about succeeding now and in the future; (3) persevering toward goals and, when necessary, redirecting paths to goals (hope) in order to succeed; and (4) when beset by problems and adversity, sustaining and bouncing back and even beyond (resilience) to attain success" (Luthans et al., 2007).

Self-Efficacy: According to Chen and Lim (2012), employees with high levels of self-efficacy are often equipped with the professional skills and abilities necessary to excel in both current and future roles. Self-efficacy is widely defined as an individual's belief in their ability to Organize and execute the actions required to achieve specific tasks in a particular context (Stajkovic and Luthans, 1998). It reflects confidence in one's capacity to perform tasks and achieve goals (Luthans,

Avolio, Avey, & Norman, 2007). In the context of banking, self-efficacy refers to bankers' confidence in their knowledge and skills to efficiently carry out their responsibilities (Nguyen and Nguyen, 2012).

Optimism: Optimism relates to having positive expectations about the future and serves as a protective factor against stress. It plays a critical Role in predicting well-being and fostering positive outcomes across various domains, such as health, academic success, and job satisfaction (Luthans et al., 2007). Kandampully, Zhang, and Bilgihan (2015) describe optimism as the general expectation of positive outcomes combined with persistence in pursuing goals.

Hope: Hope is a central aspect of psychological capital that entails having clearly defined objectives and the perceived ability to devise Pathways to achieve them. It is characterized by a sense of expectation and desire for positive events. Snyder (2002) conceptualizes hope as the integration of agency (belief in one's ability to act) and pathways (belief in the existence of routes to achieve goals).

Resilience: In positive psychology, resilience is the ability to effectively cope with adversity or distress. Within organizations, it is defined as The capacity to recover from stress, conflict, failure, or changes in responsibility. Resilience is associated with positive outcomes, including improved academic performance, job satisfaction, and overall well-being (Luthans & Youssef, 2004). Masten et al. (2009) describe resilience. As the process of positive adjustment in the workplace. Resilient employees are adaptable and capable of thriving under challenging conditions, which positively impacts work-related outcomes and attitudes (Avey et al., 2010).

Psychological Capital Measurement

Different researchers have different understandings of the term psychological capital, resulting in different measurement methods and tools. One of the pioneering works on the measurement of psychological capital is of Luthans et.al. Luthans, Youssef, and Avolio (2007) have Developed a Psychological Capital Questionnaire, consisting of 24 items, which is abbreviated as PCQ-24. Reliability and validity have been established for the four dimensions of hope, optimism, self-efficacy and resilience (PCQ-24).

Due to the subjective nature of the PCO-24 tool, alternative approaches have been proposed for assessing psychological capital at the Individual level. One such method involves gathering evaluations from colleagues, supervisors, and other stakeholders to provide a more Comprehensive perspective (Demerouti et al., 2011). To address potential biases, Harms and Luthans (2012) and Harms et al. (2017, 2018) introduced the Implicit PsyCap Questionnaire (I-PCQ). This tool uses a

narrative-based approach, where participants are asked to reflect on three types of scenarios—positive, negative, and ambiguous events—and respond to questions regarding the four components of Psychological capital. Additionally, Walumbwa et al. (2011) developed a scale for measuring collective psychological capital, which highlights team collaboration as a means to enhance group capabilities and achieve organizational goals.

Strategies for effective psychological capital among employees

Organizations can foster psychological capital among employees by implementing the following strategies:

Training programs: Organizations can arrange training programs that focus on developing hope, optimism, resilience, and self-efficacy Among employees. These programs can include workshops, coaching, and mentoring sessions that help employees to develop a positive Outlook, cope with challenges, and believe in their ability to perform tasks and achieve goals. “For nearly two decades, applied psychologists have been working on developing, evaluating, and refining programs that include training sessions for increasing employees’ psychological capital” (Lupsa, et al., 2020).

Positive work environment: A positive work environment can include a supportive and inclusive work culture, recognizing and rewarding employees’ achievements, and promoting work-life balance.

Leadership development: Leaders can work as models and promote psychological capital among employees in organizations. Leaders can play a crucial role in fostering a positive work environment, providing feedback and coaching, and promoting employee development.

Employee development: Organizations can provide opportunities for employees to develop their skills and abilities, leading to increased self-efficacy and motivation.

Feedback and coaching: Regular feedback and coaching to employees help them to develop their strengths and weaknesses, and to achieve their goals.

Recognition and rewards: Organizations can recognize and reward employees’ achievements, which can help to boost their self-efficacy and motivation.

Employee well-being: Employees should be provided access to wellness programs, such as fitness classes, mental health resources, and employee assistance programs.

Diversity and inclusion: Organizations can promote diversity and inclusion by providing a work environment that gives importance and respect to all staff members

regardless of their background, culture, or identity.

Performance management: Organizations can use performance management systems that focus on developing employees' strengths and weaknesses, rather than just evaluating their performance. In terms of specific interventions, organizations can consider the following:

Hope-based interventions: Hope-based interventions such as goal-setting workshops may be organized to help employees develop a positive outlook and achieve their goals.

Optimism-based interventions: Positive thinking workshops should be there for employees to have a positive outlook and cope with challenges.

Resilience-based interventions: Organizations can provide resilience-based interventions, such as stress management workshops, to help employees develop coping skills and bounce back from adversity.

Self-efficacy-based interventions: Organizations can arrange training self-confidence-building workshops, to help employees develop their Self-efficacy and belief in performing better on tasks and achieving goals. By implementing these strategies and interventions, organizations can foster psychological capital among employees, leading to increased work engagement, job satisfaction, and job performance. Additionally, organizations can benefit from increased productivity, quality of work, and employee retention. Carter & Youssef-Morgan(2022) has emphasized that recent research has expanded these programs to include online options to make psychological capital training more accessible and efficient.

Psychological Capital and Job Performance

Yuwono et al. (2024) identified psychological capital as a key mediator linking transformational leadership with both employee performance and individual-level organizational behavior. Ramos-Villagrana et al. (2019) emphasized that job performance is a crucial metric in human resource management, often described as the "ultimate dependent variable." Their research examined the use of an 18-item self-report tool, the Individual Work Performance Questionnaire (IWPQ), which evaluates three core aspects of job performance: task performance, contextual performance, and counterproductive behaviors, across diverse job roles.

Studies by Kappagoda et al. (2014), Polatci and Akdogan (2014), and Venkatesh and Blaskovich (2012) highlighted a strong correlation between psychological capital and job performance. Effective job performance among staff is vital for driving organizational success (Kappagoda, 2014; Wang et al., 2015). Harms and Luthans (2012) illustrated how psychological capital positively influences instructors by enhancing their behaviors, improving performance, and fostering

rational decision-making in critical situations. Sun et al. (2011) observed that psychological capital enhances employee performance and supports goal attainment.

Luthans et al. (2012) noted that the dimensions of psychological capital—self-efficacy, hope, optimism, and resilience—serve as motivating tools to improve educational outcomes and inspire educators to engage in continuous research activities. Caza et al. (2010) further underlined The importance of psychological capital in achieving efficiency and effectiveness within educational organizations. Numerous studies, including those by Avey et al. (2010) and Luthans et al. (2007), have demonstrated that psychological capital serves as a positive predictor of job performance.

Psychological Capital and Work Engagement

Work engagement is characterized as a positive, fulfilling emotional and cognitive state associated with persistence and energy in the workplace (Li and Ling, 2007; Aldabbas et al., 2021). Nikolova, Schaufeli, and Notelaers (2019) emphasized the growing importance of Understanding work engagement within evidence-based management practices. Research by Qi and Wu (2018) identified psychological capital as a critical factor positively influencing the link between work engagement and job performance.

Sihag and Sarikwal (2014) explored the relationship between psychological capital and employee engagement among IT professionals in India. Their findings revealed that employees with higher levels of psychological capital—characterized by hope, confidence, resilience, and Optimism—exhibited greater workplace engagement. Similarly, Banerjee and Yadav (2016) studied defense personnel in India, concluding that psychological capital significantly fosters employee engagement. Simons and Buitendach (2013) examined South African employees and found a strong connection between self-efficacy and work engagement, which positively impacts quality of life and organizational outcomes.

Psychological Capital and Job Satisfaction

Meta-analyses have consistently shown positive associations between psychological capital and desirable employee attitudes, such as job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and well-being (Avey, Reichard, Luthans, & Mhatre, 2011). Skaalvik et al. (2010) confirmed that self-efficacy, a core component of psychological capital, is closely linked to job satisfaction. Britton (2008) emphasized the strong Relationship between resilience and job satisfaction. Robbins and Judge (2007) described job satisfaction as a positive attitude toward one's work, influenced by evaluations of its characteristics. Employees who are satisfied with their jobs exhibit positive feelings, while dissatisfaction leads to negative perceptions of their roles.

Discussion and Future Directions

Psychological Capital as a construct is essential not only in organizational contexts but also in everyday life. Enhancing psychological capital among employees can contribute to sustainable business success by mitigating the emotional and psychological pressures associated with work, which might otherwise harm individual and organizational performance. Both theoretical models and empirical research highlight the Strong positive relationship between psychological capital and critical outcomes such as work engagement, job satisfaction, and job performance. The literature suggests that employees with elevated levels of psychological capital tend to exhibit greater job satisfaction, stronger engagement, and higher dedication to their roles, leading to improved job performance. These findings underscore the practical value For organizations to foster psychological capital among employees. By doing so, organizations can enhance overall work engagement and drive superior job performance, ultimately contributing to their long-term success.

Future research directions: In this literature review it was observed that most of the researches on PsyCap emphasize the outcome variable of psychological capital, i.e. the impact of psychological capital on employees whereas research is not sufficient on antecedent factors of psychological capital, therefore, more researches should be there on factors which influence psychological capital. PsyCap and its Consequences on other variables such as job empowerment, organizational commitment, and organizational climate should be given priority in future research. Future research should give more importance to the implementation of strategies and interventions that increase psychological capital. Research should address intervention policies to foster psychological capital among marginalized employees.

Conclusion

Psychological Capital represents a crucial internal resource that supports personal growth, organizational development, and societal progress. It plays a key role in achieving goals, improving social standing, solving problems effectively, and recovering from setbacks or adversities (Cenciotti et al., 2017). This paper has examined various studies on the components of PsyCap and their influence on work engagement, job Satisfaction, and job performance. By analyzing theoretical models, empirical findings, and practical applications, the review affirms that Psychological Capital serves as a strong predictor of work engagement and job performance.

Organizations can leverage this insight by implementing strategies such as training programs, coaching, and mentoring to foster Psychological Capital among employees. These initiatives not only enhance individual performance but also

contribute to greater organizational success.

Future research should further investigate the dynamic relationship between Psychological Capital and work engagement, job performance, and the practical implications of these findings for organizational strategies.

References

1. Aldabbas, H., Pinnington, A., and Lahrech, A. (2021). The influence of perceived organizational support on employee creativity: the mediating role of work engagement. *Curr. Psychol.* 136, 280–287. doi: 10.1007/s12144-021-01992-1
2. Avey, J. B., Luthans, F., & Youssef, C. M. (2010). The additive value of positive psychological capital in predicting work attitudes and behaviors. *Journal of Management*, 36, Pg. 430-452.
3. Avey, J. B., Reichard, R. J., Luthans, F., & Mhatre, K. H. (2011). Meta-analysis of the impact of positive psychological capital on employee attitudes, behaviors, and performance. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 22(2), 127– a. 152. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.20070>
4. Banerjee, P. & Yadav, B. (2016). A Study of Positive Psychological Capital on Employee Engagement in a Defence Establishment of India. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, Volume 4, Issue 1.
5. Britton K. (2008) Increasing job satisfaction: Coaching with evidence-based interventions. *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*, 1(2):Pg. 176-185.
6. Campbell, B.A., Coff, R., & Kryscynski, D. (2012). Rethinking sustained competitive advantage from human capital. *Academy of Management Review*, 37 (3), Pg. 376-395.
7. Carter, J. W., & Youssef-Morgan, C. (2022). Psychological capital development effectiveness of face-to-face, online, and micro-learning interventions. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(5), Pg. 6553–6575
8. Caza, A., Baggiozz, R., Woolleg, and Caza, B. (2010). Psychological Capital and Authentic Leadership, *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 2(1), Pg. 53-70.
9. Cenciotti, R., Alessandri, G., & Borgogni, L. (2017). Psychological capital and career success over time: The mediating role of job crafting. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 24(3), Pg. 372–384.
10. Chen, D. O. N. J. Q., & Lim, V. K. G. (2012). *Strength in adversity : The*

- influence of psychological capital on job search.* (June). <https://doi.org/10.1002/job>.
11. Demerouti, E., van Eeuwijk, E., Snelder, M. and Wild, U. (2011), “Assessing the effects of a “personal effectiveness” training on psychological capital, assertiveness and self-awareness using self-other agreement”, *Career Development International*, Vol. 16 a. No. 1, Pg. **60-81**.
 12. Harms, P.D. and Luthans, F. (2012), “Measuring implicit psychological constructs in organizational behavior: an Example using psychological capital”, *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 33 No. 4, Pg. **589-594**, doi: 10.1002/job.1785.
 13. Harms, P.D., Krasikova, D.V. and Luthans, F. (2018), “Not me, but reflects me: validating a simple implicit measure of psychological capital”, *Journal of Personality Assessment*, Vol. 100 No. 5, Pg. **551-562**.
 14. Harms, P.D., Vanhove, A. and Luthans, F. (2017), “Positive projections and health: an initial validation of the implicit psychological capital health measure”, *Applied Psychology*, Vol. 66 No. 1, Pg. **78-102**.
 15. Kandampully, J., Zhang, T. (Christina), & Bilgihan, A. (2015). Customer loyalty: A review and future directions with a special focus on the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 27(3), Pg. **379-414**.
 16. Kappagoda, S., Othman, H. and De Alwis, G. (2014). Psychological Capital and Job Performance: The Mediating Role of Work Attitudes, *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies*, 2, 102-116.
 17. Li, R., and Ling, W. (2007). A review of the research on work/job engagement. *Adv. Psychol. Sci.* 15, 366-372. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1671-3710.2007.02.028
 18. Lupsa, D., Virga, D., Maricutoiu, L.P., & Rusu, A. (2020). Increasing psychological capital: A pre-registered meta-analysis of controlled interventions. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 69(4), 1506-1556. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12219>
 19. Luthans, F. (2002) The Need for and Meaning of Positive Organizational Behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 23, Pg. **695- 698**.
 20. Luthans, F. (2012), “Psychological capital: implications for HRD, retrospective analysis, and future directions”, *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, Vol. 23 No. 1, Pg. **1-8**

21. Luthans, F. and Youssef, C.M. (2004), “Human, social, and now positive psychological capital management: investing in people for competitive advantage”, *Organizational Dynamics*, Vol. 33 No. 2, Pg. **143-160**,
22. Luthans, F., & Broad, J. D. (2022). Positive psychological capital to help combat the mental health fallout from the pandemic and VUCA environment. *Organizational Dynamics*, 51(2), 100817.
23. Luthans, F., Avey, J. B., Avolio, B. J., Norman, S. M., & Combs, G. M. (2006). Psychological capital development: Toward a micro-intervention. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 27(3), 387–393.
24. Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M., & Avolio, B. J. (2007). Psychological capital: Developing the human competitive edge. Oxford University Press.]
25. Luthans, Fred; Avolio, Bruce J.; Avey, James B.; and Norman, Steven M.(2007). “Positive Psychological Capital: Measurement and Relationship with Performance and Satisfaction” (2007). *Leadership Institute Faculty Publications*. Paper 11.
26. Masten, A. S., Cutuli, J. J., Herbers, J. E., & Reed, M.-G. J. (2009). Resilience in development. In S. J. Lopez & C. R. Snyder (eds.), *Oxford Handbook of Positive Psychology* (pp. 74–88). New York: Oxford University Press.
27. Nguyen, T. D., & Nguyen, T. T. M. (2012). Psychological capital, quality of work life, and quality of life of marketers: Evidence from Vietnam. *Journal of Macromarketing*, 32, Pg. **87–95**.
28. Nikolova, I., Schaufeli, W., & Notelaers, G. (2019). Engaging leader e Engaged employees ? A cross-lagged study on employee engagement. *European Management Journal*, a, (xxxx).
29. Polatci, S., & Akdogan, A. (2014). Psychological Capital and Performance: The Mediating Role of Work-Family Spillover and Psychological Well-Being. *Business and Economics Research Journal*, 5(1), Pg. **1-15**.
30. Qi, Y., and Wu, X. (2018). Job demands-resources model: the development of theoretical and empirical research. *J. Beijing Norm. a. Univ. Soc. Sci. Ed.* 06, Pg. **28–36**.
31. Ramos-Villagrasa, P. J., Barrada, J. R., Fernández-del-Río, E., & Koopmans, L. (2019). Assessing job performance using brief self-report scales: The case of the individual work performance Questionnaire, *Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 35, Pg. **195-205**.
32. Sihag, P., & Sarikwal, L. (2014). Impact of Psychological Capital on Employee Engagement: A Study of IT Professionals in Indian Context.

- Management Studies and Economic Systems*, 1(2), Pg. **127-139**.
33. Simons, J.C. and Buitendach, J.H. (2013) Psychological Capital, Work Engagement and Organizational Commitment amongst Call Centre Employees in South Africa. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 39, Pg. **1-12**.
 34. Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2010). Teacher self-efficacy and teacher burnout: A study of relations. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 26(4), Pg. **1059–1069**.
 35. Snyder, C. R. (2002). Hope theory: Rainbows in the mind. *Psychological Inquiry*, 13(4), Pg. **249-255**.
 36. Stajkovic, A.D., & Luthans, F. (1998). Social cognitive theory and self-efficacy: Going beyond traditional motivational and behavioral approaches. *Organizational Dynamics*, 26, Pg. **62-74**.
 37. Sun, T., Zhao, X., Yang, L., & Fan, L. (2011). The Impact of Psychological Capital on Job Embeddedness and Job Performance among Nurses: A Structural Equation Approach, *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 68(1), Pg. **69–79**.
 38. Tho, Nguyen Dinh., Phong, Nguyen Dong., & Quan, Tran Ha Minh. (2014). Marketers' Psychological Capital and Performance. *a. Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 6(1), Pg. **36 – 48**.
 39. Venkatesh, R., & Blaskovich, J. (2012). The Mediating Effect of Psychological Capital on the Budget Participation-Job Performance Relationship, *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 24, Pg. **159–175**
 40. Walumbwa, F.O., Luthans, F., Avey, J.B. and Oke, A. (2011), “Authentically leading groups: the mediating role of collective psychological capital and trust”, *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 4-24, doi: 10.1002/job.653.
 41. Wang, C., Yen, C., and Liu, G. (2015) How Intellectual Influence Individual Performance: A Multi-Level Perspective, *Computers in Human Behavior*, 51(2), Pg. **930-937**
 42. Wang, Y., Chen, Y., & Zhu, Y. (2021). Promoting innovative behavior in employees: The mechanism of leader psychological capital. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11.
 43. Yuwono, H., Kurniawan, M.D., Syamsudin, N., Eliyana, A., Saputra, D.E.E., Emur, A.P. and Jalil, N.I.A. (2024). “Do a. Psychological capital and transformational leadership make differences in organizational citizenship behavior?”, *PloS One*, Vol. 18 No. 12, p. e0294559,